UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,396	04/03/2006	Kai-Jens Matejat	05-949	5607
20306 7590 MCDONNELL RO		EXAMINER		
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			PHASGE, ARUN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

4
---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/559,396	MATEJAT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Arun S. Phasge	1753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant</li> </ul>	action is non-final.	secution as to the morits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
	•				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			
C. Potost and Trademad. Office					

Art Unit: 1753

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The independent claim already recites that the etching solution is allowed to flow to the cathode first and since claim 1 recites "no membrane or diaphragm" it would contact the anode next.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the regenerated copper" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,396

Art Unit: 1753

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fronsman et al. (Fronsman), U.S. Patent 3,825,484 in view of Japanese patent 51-119632 A.

Fronsman discloses a method for regenerating etching solutions containing iron for use in etching or pickling copper or copper alloys, comprising feeding the etching solution to be regenerated from the etching system into the cell, comprising anode and cathode, means for removing the copper and means for collecting the copper, wherein the cell does not have a membrane, oxidizing the Fe(II) to Fe(III) at the anode and depositing copper at the cathode and recycling the solution to the etching system (see claims 1-9). The reference further discloses the use of DC, of which would include the pulsed current (see col. 4, lines 61-62). The patent further discloses the use of the rotating cathode and means

for removing copper is a stripping plate (see claim 9). The structure 75 would read upon the anode hood claimed in figures 1-2.

The Fronsman patent does not disclose the applying of the potential to the removed copper deposit to prevent re-dissolving of the copper. The Japanese patent is cited to teach the step of applying a potential to prevent the re-dissolving of copper (see abstract).

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Fronsman in view of the teaching of the Japanese patent, because the patent teaches the use of a cathodic potential to prevent redissolving.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fronsman in view of the Japanese patent as applied to claims above, and further in view of Harms, U.S. Patent 3,933,606.

The combination of the Fronsman and Japanese patents do not disclose the use of potentionmeteric measurement to control the current flowing through the cell. The Harms patent is cited to teach the control of current based upon readings of concentration (see claims 10-13).

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Fronsman in view of the teaching of the Harms patent, because the patent teaches the use of a concentration measurements to control the current fed to an electrolytic cell.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753